# Variant detection

Mark Stenglein



Computational Biology and Genomics Workshop

Todos Santos Center April 9-13, 2018 Will mainly focus on intrahost virus populations, but other populations can be studied using similar methods and are similar in principle

Rare somatic variants in cancer (cancer subclones)



Population genomics using pools of individuals (Pool-Seq)



image: Magro et al (2006) Modern Path.

Why study intrahost viral variation?





@ MAYO FOUNDATION FOR MEDICAL EDUCATION AND RESEARCH. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

Image: Mayo clinic

(RNA) Viruses typically have error rates  $\approx$  1 / genome size



Sanjuán et al (2010) J Virol

A typical RNA virus has a genome ~10,000 nt long and a ~1/10,000 mutation rate



#### **Negative stranded RNA virus replication**

Image: <u>viralzone.expasy.org</u>

As a consequence of error-prone replication, intrahost virus populations can diversify rapidly



Lauring and Andino (2010) PLoS Pathogens

Intrahost viral population diversity can have a functional impact



Lauring and Andino (2010) PLoS Pathogens Vignuzzi et al (2006) Nature The shifting 'mutant swarm' may not change consensus sequence



Domingo et al (2012) Microbiol Mol Biol Reviews

#### Sanger sequencing typically produces consensus sequence





Not easily scalable

E. Domingo (2016)



# NGS has emerged as powerful tool to study variants in populations

Assumes that frequencies in reads correspond to frequencies in genomes in the population

Generally good assumption



Schlötterer et al Heredity 2015

#### Goal: identify variants, their frequencies, and potential functional impact



Biological and technical limitations to the ability to detect rare variants



Distinguishing sequencing errors from true rare variants is a central challenge



sequencing error, or real low frequency variant?

#### Variant calling is also sensitive to mapping



# Another issue is linking or 'phasing' variants (haplotype reconstruction)



Errors in sequence reads can be introduced during library prep and during sequencing



# Error rates are fairly low, but they apply to huge #s of basecalls



If the average error rate is 0.1%, and a sequencing run produces 100M 100 nt reads, there will be 10M incorrect basecalls in the dataset

Incorrect baseball



Incorrect	basecal
-----------	---------

G	T	Α	Т	C	G	G	T	A	G	T	G
	V			S			V	S)	yrB (	DS	>
								gy	rB g	ene	>
G	Т	А	Т	С	G	G	Т	А	G	Т	G
G	Т	Α	Т	С	G	G	G	А	G	Т	G
G	Т	А	Т	С	G	G	Т	Α	G	Т	G
G	Т	Α	Т	С	G	G	Т	Α	G	Т	G
G	Т	А	Т	С	G	G	Т	А	G	Т	G
G	Т	А	Т	С	G	G	Т	А	G	Т	G

Several clever methods have been developed to get beyond the limit of detection due to sequencing errors



Kennedy et al (2014) Nature Protocols

#### CirSeq aims to measure lower frequency variants in RNA viruses



Acevedo and Andino (2014) Nature Protocols

# These methods aim to decrease variant frequency limit of detection





Acevedo et al (2014) Nature

Schmitt et al (2012) PNAS

#### These approaches have practical limitations

That's a lot of (poly-A) RNA

according to the manufacturer guidelines. Then 2–5µg of poly(A)-containing RNA was fragmented with fragmentation reagent (Ambion) for 7.5min at 70°C. A practical minimum for this library preparation is 1µg to ensure that enough fragmented RNA is obtained to produce a library with sufficient complexity and handle reproducibly. Approximately 80–90-base RNA fragments

Acevedo et al (2014) Nature

The good news!

You don't necessarily or even often need linked variants or ultra low frequency variants to infer population genetic parameters (or otherwise answer your question of interest)

A typical workflow for variant identification



# The standard format for variant data is the vcf file (variant call format)

##filef	ormat=V(	CFv4.3										
##fileD	##fileDate=20090805											
##source=myImputationProgramV3.1												
##refer	##reference=file:///seq/references/1000GenomesPilot-NCBI36.fasta											
##contig= <id=20,length=62435964,assembly=b36,md5=f126cdf8a6e0c7f379d618ff66beb2da,species="homo_sapiens",taxonomy=x></id=20,length=62435964,assembly=b36,md5=f126cdf8a6e0c7f379d618ff66beb2da,species="homo_sapiens",taxonomy=x>												
##phasing=partial												
##INFO= <id=ns,number=1,type=integer,description="number data"="" of="" samples="" with=""></id=ns,number=1,type=integer,description="number>												
##INFO= <id=dp,number=1,type=integer,description="total depth"=""></id=dp,number=1,type=integer,description="total>												
##INFO= <id=af,number=a,type=float,description="allele frequency"=""></id=af,number=a,type=float,description="allele>												
##INFO= <id=aa,number=1,type=string,description="ancestral allele"=""></id=aa,number=1,type=string,description="ancestral>												
##INFO=	<id=db,n< td=""><td>Number=0,T</td><td>ype=Flag</td><td>g,Descri</td><td>ption</td><td>="dbSNP</td><td>membership, build 1</td><td>29"&gt;</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></id=db,n<>	Number=0,T	ype=Flag	g,Descri	ption	="dbSNP	membership, build 1	29">				
##INFO=	<id=h2,n< td=""><td>Number=0,T</td><td>ype=Fla</td><td>g,Descri</td><td>ption</td><td>="НарМар</td><td>2 membership"&gt;</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></id=h2,n<>	Number=0,T	ype=Fla	g,Descri	ption	="НарМар	2 membership">					
##FILTE	R= <id=q1< td=""><td>10,Descrip</td><td>tion="Qu</td><td>uality b</td><td>elow 🗄</td><td>LO"&gt;</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></id=q1<>	10,Descrip	tion="Qu	uality b	elow 🗄	LO">						
##FILTER= <id=s50,description="less 50%="" data"="" have="" of="" samples="" than=""></id=s50,description="less>												
##FORMAT= <id=gt,number=1,type=string,description="genotype"></id=gt,number=1,type=string,description="genotype">												
##FORMAT= <id=gq,number=1,type=integer,description="genotype quality"=""></id=gq,number=1,type=integer,description="genotype>												
##FORMAT= <id=dp,number=1,type=integer,description="read depth"=""></id=dp,number=1,type=integer,description="read>												
##FORMAT= <id=hq,number=2,type=integer,description="haplotype quality"=""></id=hq,number=2,type=integer,description="haplotype>												
#CHROM	POS	ID	REF	ALT	QUAL	FILTER	INFO		FORMAT	NA00001	NA00002	NA00003
20	14370	rs6054257	G	Α	29	PASS	NS=3;DP=14;AF=0.5;D	B;H2	GT:GQ:DP:HQ	0 0:48:1:51,51	1 0:48:8:51,51	1/1:43:5:.,
20	17330		Т	Α	3	q10	NS=3;DP=11;AF=0.017		GT:GQ:DP:HQ	0 0:49:3:58,50	0 1:3:5:65,3	0/0:41:3
20	1110696	rs6040355	Α	G,T	67	PASS	NS=2;DP=10;AF=0.333	,0.667;AA=T;DB	GT:GQ:DP:HQ	1 2:21:6:23,27	2 1:2:0:18,2	2/2:35:4
20	1230237		Т		47	PASS	NS=3;DP=13;AA=T		GT:GQ:DP:HQ	0 0:54:7:56,60	0 0:48:4:51,51	0/0:61:2
20	1234567	microsat1	GTC	G,GTCT	50	PASS	NS=3;DP=9;AA=G		GT:GQ:DP	0/1:35:4	0/2:17:2	1/1:40:3

https://github.com/samtools/hts-specs

#### A couple reviews to get you started

Schlötterer et al (2014) Nat Rev Gen doi:10.1038/nrg3803

Posada-Cespedes et al (2016) Virus Res doi:10.1016/j.virusres.2016.09.016

Table 2   <b>To pool or not to pool?</b>	
Scenario	Pool-seq recommended?
Small sample size (<40 individuals)	Yes, but only appropriate when carried out on genomic windows containing multiple SNPs instead of on individual SNPs
Phenotypes of individuals are or will be available	RAD-seq of individuals is probably better suited for many cases
Linkage disequilibrium is key to data analysis	RAD-seq of individuals is probably better suited for many cases
High confidence about low-frequency SNPs is needed	Not with current protocols; sequencing of individuals is preferred
Simple population genetic analyses, such as population differentiation or average heterozygosity	Yes, but when coverage is low it results in a lower confidence of the allele frequency estimate of individual SNPs
Identification of selective sweeps	Yes, but only limited information about linkage disequilibrium can be obtained
Time series with large sample sizes and many replicates	Yes
Mapping of induced mutations	Yes, identification of the causative site is possible
GWAS	Yes, provided that replicates and large pool sizes are available, but other approaches should also be considered
QTL mapping	Yes, but no effect sizes are estimated
Intraspecific polymorphism of bacterial and viral populations	Yes
Information about dominance and effect size is important	No
Cancer	Pool-seq is a natural approach to analyse the cell population
GWAS, genome-wide association study; QTL	, quantitative trait locus; RAD-seq, restriction-

GWAS, genome-wide association study; QTL, quantitative trait locus; RAD-seq, restrictionsite-associated DNA sequencing; SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism.

### These reviews summarize relevant software, pitfalls, best practices, etc.

Population genetic	5	
<b>PoPoolation</b>	Estimates variation within populations	39
PoPoolation2	Estimates differentiation between multiple populations	132
Pool-HMM	Detects selective sweeps from the allele frequency spectrum using a hidden Markov model	133
npstat	Computes a wide range of population genetic estimators; may be used in conjunction with an external SNP caller; every contig needs to be analysed separately	134
<u>Stacks</u>	Developed for population genomics with RAD-seq; may also be used with pooled RAD-seq data	135
Bayenv2	Estimates differentiation between populations	79
<u>SelEstim</u>	Detects and measures selection	136
<u>KimTree</u>	Infers population histories	137

Schlötterer et al (2014) Nat Rev Gen Posada-Cespedes et al (2016) Virus Res

# Several papers fairly recently compared variant calling software



Hwang et al (2015) Sci Rep DOI: 10.1038/srep17875 Fairly good overlap from different pipelines using Illumina data



Figure 3. Venn diagrams summarizing called variants by different callers. The mean percentage with

Different s/w had different biases Avoid using Ion Proton data for variant calling

Hwang et al (2015) Sci Rep DOI: 10.1038/srep17875

#### Let's call some variants!

GGAATAATGGAACATATGCACTCTAAGGGGACGGCGCTCATGTAT GAATAATGGAACATATGCACTCCAAGGGGACGGCGCTCATGTAT GGAATAATGGAGCATATGCATTCCAAGGGGACGGCGCTCATGTAT GGAATAATGGAGCATATGCATTCCAAGGGGACGGCGCTCATGTAT GGAATAATGGAGCATATGCATTCCAAG**T**GGACGGCGCTCATGTAT GGAATAATGGA<mark>A</mark>CATATGC GCGCTCATGTAT GGAATAA CTCTAAGGGGACGGCGCTCATGTAT GGAATAATGGAACATATGCACTCTAAGGGGACGGCGCTCATGTAT GGAATAATGGAACATATGCACTCTAAGGGGACGGCGCTCATGTAT GGAATAATGGAACATATGCACTCTAAGGGGACGGCGCTCATGTAT GAATAATGGAACATATGCACTCCAAGGGGACGGCGCTCATGTAT